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Castration-Recurrent CaP

• Chemotherapy Simplified
  • Docetaxel 1st line regimen
  • No best second line regimen

• Sipuleucel-T (Provenge®)
  • Asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic
  • ECOG 0-1
When should active surveillance be the only recommendation for a 65 yo man with clinically localized CaP?

A. When CaP is low risk and health is excellent
B. When CaP is low risk and health is average
C. When CaP is very low risk and health is excellent
D. When CaP is very low risk and health is average
E. He should be treated no matter what!
When should active surveillance be the only recommendation for a 65 yo man with clinically localized CaP?

A. When CaP is low risk and health is excellent
B. When CaP is low risk and health is average
C. When CaP is very low risk and health is excellent
D. When CaP is very low risk and health is average
E. He should be treated no matter what!
PSA and Prostate Cancer

• 1980s: 16% diagnosed with metastatic CaP
• 2002: 4% diagnosed with metastatic CaP
• CaP mortality rate declined 33% from 1993 to 2003
• Tyrol PSA-based screening study (Bartsch G, BJU Int, 2008) shows 54% decline in CaP-specific mortality and 10 yr PSA lead-time
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Need to Treat to Prevent 1 Death:

- 28 screen-detected CaP (Schroder, NEJM, 2009)
- 100 low risk CaP (Klotz, J Clin Oncol, 2005)
- No survival benefit (Andriole, NEJM, 2009)
2010 Guideline Updates

1. Defined new risk category: **very low** risk CaP
2. Active surveillance **only** recommendation for men with
   a. low risk CaP and L Exp < 10 yrs
   b. very low risk CaP and L Exp < 20 yrs
3. Active surveillance program defined
4. Daily IGRT required for high dose XRT (≥78Gy)
5. External beam radiation failure work-up and treatment clarified
6. Chemotherapy algorithms simplified
7. Immunotherapy for castration-recurrent CaP
Preoperative Criteria associated with Clinically Insignificant Disease in the Radical Prostatectomy Specimen

- Gleason Sum <7
- PSA <10
- No. positive biopsy cores <3
- CaP <50% in any biopsy
- PSAD <0.15

Epstein, JAMA, 1994
2010 NCCN Concerns

- High prevalence of CaP upon autopsy (Sakr, In Vivo, 1994)
- High frequency of CaP upon biopsy even when PSA and DRE normal (Thompson, NEJM, 2004)
- Mortality about 1/6 incidence (Jemal, CA Cancer J Clin, 2009)
- 23-42% of US screen-detected CaP overtreated
2010 Guideline Updates

1. Very low risk CaP

Low Risk
• T1-T2a
• GS 2-6
• PSA<10

Very Low Risk
• T1c
• GS 2-6
• PSA<10
• <3 cores positive
• <50% CaP in any core
• PSAD<0.15
2010 Guideline Updates

2. Active surveillance **only** recommendation for men with:

a. Low risk CaP **and** L Exp < 10 yrs
b. Very low risk CaP **and** L Exp < 20 yrs
2010 Guideline Updates

3. Active surveillance program clarified
   a. PSA as often as every 6 mo
   b. DRE as often as every 12 mo
   c. Prostate biopsy as often as every 12 mo when L Exp > 10 yrs
   d. Uncertain what the progression criteria should be to warrant treatment
Best Argument for Immediate Treatment

- Randomized, controlled trial of RP vs. EM
- RP decreased absolute risk for
  - Local progression by 25%
  - Distant metastasis by 10%
  - Overall mortality by 5%
- Men < 65 yrs experienced greatest survival advantage
- Most diagnosed before the PSA era

Bill-Axelson, NEJM, 2005
Best Argument for Immediate Treatment

- Randomized, controlled trial of RP vs. EM
- RP decreased absolute risk for
  - Local progression by 25%
  - Distant metastasis by 10%
  - Overall mortality by 5% but was only 14.9% vs 9.6%
- Men < 65 yo experienced greatest survival advantage but found in a statistically improper subset analysis
- Most diagnosed before the PSA era so not applicable to US or today

Bill-Axelson, NEJM, 2005
2009 NCCN: Active Surveillance or Immediate Active Treatment?

• The **risks** of AS include
  - chance of missed opportunity for cure
  - nerve-sparing may be more difficult
  - anxiety

• The **benefits** of AS include
  - avoidance of treatment-related side effects from a treatment that was unnecessary
## North American AS Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center</th>
<th>Toronto&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Johns Hopkins&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>UCSF&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. Patients</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/U (mo)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSS</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS↑</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>14% (DT&lt;3 yrs)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16% [26% (PSAV&gt;0.75)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodule</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How does one estimate life expectancy with enough accuracy to guide treatment decision making in prostate cancer?

A. Ask the patient
B. Use the Minnesota Metropolitan Life Insurance tables
C. Use the Social Security Administration tables
D. Adjust for overall health status
E. All of the above
How does one estimate life expectancy with enough accuracy to guide treatment decision making in prostate cancer?
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B. Use the Minnesota Metropolitan Life Insurance tables
C. Use the Social Security Administration tables
D. Adjust for overall health status
E. All of the above
Life Expectancy Estimation

• LExp can be estimated using the Minnesota Metropolitan Life Insurance Tables or the Social Security Administration LExp Tables.

• LExp can be adjusted for individual patients by adding or subtracting 50% based upon whether one believes the patient is in the healthiest quartile or the unhealthiest quartile, respectively.
  – SSA LExp for 65-year old American man = 16 yrs
  – If upper quartile of health, LExp = 24 yrs
  – If lower quartile of health, LExp = 8 yrs

• 2007 NCCN Guidelines, for the first time, included “Principles of Life Expectancy Estimation.”
Time to Death from Prostate Cancer

- **Gleason score**
  - 2-6 favorable
  - 7-10 unfavorable

- **Tumor volume**
  - < 4 cc favorable
  - ≥ 4 cc unfavorable (nodule, PSA/3, >3 biopsies, >50% of a biopsy)

- **Aggressiveness**
  - Low if both favorable
  - High if both unfavorable
  - Intermediate if only 1 favorable

- **Time to symptomatic metastases by aggressiveness**
  - Low 12 yrs
  - Intermediate 10 yrs
  - High 8 yrs

- **Time to death**
  - Time to symptoms + 3 yrs for remission due to ADT + 10 yr PSA early detection lead time [if applicable]

McNeal, Human Pathol, 1992; Bartsch G, BJU Int, 2008
Case

65 yo man presents with PSA 7.2, clinical stage T1c, and Gleason score 3+3=6 prostate cancer in 10% of 1 of 12 biopsies. Health is excellent. The best choice for treatment is:

1. 3D Conformal Radiation
2. Interstitial Implant (seeds)
3. Prostatectomy
4. Cryotherapy
5. Active Surveillance
• CaP-limited LExp is 12 (low aggressiveness) + 3 (ADT) + 10 (PSA lead time) = 25 yrs
• LExp by age = 16.4 yrs
  - Excellent health = 24.6 yrs
  - Average health = 16.4 yrs
  - Poor health = 8.2 yrs
• Chance of CaP death
  - Excellent health = 50%
  - Average health = 10%
  - Poor health = 0%
• Chance of cure of CaP by Partin Tables 83%
• Chance of CaP death after RP
  - Excellent health = 7%
  - Average health = 2%
  - Poor health = 0%
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65 yo man presents with PSA 7.2, clinical stage T1c, and Gleason score 3+3=6 prostate cancer in 10% of 1 of 12 biopsies. Health is excellent. The best choice for treatment is:

1. 3D Conformal Radiation
2. Interstitial Implant (seeds)
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65 yo man presents with PSA 7.2, clinical stage T1c, and Gleason score 3+3=6 prostate cancer in 10% of 1 of 12 biopsies. Health is poor. The best choice for treatment is:

1. 3D Conformal Radiation
2. Interstitial Implant (seeds)
3. Prostatectomy
4. Cryotherapy
5. Active Surveillance
• CaP-limited LExp is 12 (low aggressiveness) + 3 (ADT) + 10 (PSA lead time) = 25 yrs
• LExp by age = 16.4 yrs
  - Excellent health = 24.6 yrs
  - Average health = 16.4 yrs
  - Poor health = 8.2 yrs
• Chance of CaP death
  - Excellent health = 50%
  - Average health = 10%
  - Poor health = 0%
• Chance of cure of CaP by Partin Tables 83%
• Chance of CaP death after RP
  - Excellent health = 7%
  - Average health = 2%
  - Poor health = 0%
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65 yo man presents with PSA 7.2, clinical stage T1c, and Gleason score 3+3=6 prostate cancer in 10% of 1 of 12 biopsies. Health is poor. The best choice for treatment is:

1. 3D Conformal Radiation
2. Interstitial Implant (seeds)
3. Prostatectomy
4. Cryotherapy
5. Active Surveillance
Case

65 yo man presents with PSA 7.2, clinical stage T1c, and Gleason score 3+3=6 prostate cancer in 10% of 1 of 12 biopsies. Health is average. The best choice for treatment is:

1. 3D Conformal Radiation
2. Interstitial Implant (seeds)
3. Prostatectomy
4. Cryotherapy
5. Active Surveillance
• CaP-limited LExp is 12 (low aggressiveness) + 3 (ADT) + 10 (PSA lead time) = 25 yrs
• LExp by age = 16.4 yrs
  - Excellent health = 24.6 yrs
  - Average health = 16.4 yrs
  - Poor health = 8.2 yrs
• Chance of CaP death
  - Excellent health = 50%
  - Average health = 10%
  - Poor health = 0%
• Chance of cure of CaP by Partin Tables 83%
• Chance of CaP death after RP
  - Excellent health = 7%
  - Average health = 2%
  - Poor health = 0%
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65 yo man presents with PSA 7.2, clinical stage T1c, and Gleason score 3+3=6 prostate cancer in 10% of 1 of 12 biopsies. Health is average. The best choice for treatment is:

1. 3D Conformal Radiation
2. Interstitial Implant (seeds)
3. Prostatectomy
4. Cryotherapy
5. Active Surveillance
When is active surveillance an appropriate option in men with clinically localized prostate cancer?

A. When CaP is poorly differentiated
B. When CaP is palpable
C. When PSA exceeds 20
D. When PSA doubling time exceeds 5 yrs
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C. When PSA exceeds 20
D. When PSA doubling time exceeds 5 yrs
Radiation

- 3D conformal and IMRT (intensity modulated RT) and IGRT (image guided RT) techniques required (2009)
- Patients with high-risk CaP require pelvic lymph node irradiation and neoadjuvant + concommitant + adjuvant androgen deprivation therapy for 2 or 3 y (2009)
- **Daily IGRT** required for high dose XRT (≥78Gy) (2010)
External Beam Radiation Failure

• More aggressive evaluation, which may include endorectal MRI, MR Spectroscopy, repeat biopsy, PSADT

• No salvage prostatectomy, cryosurgery, or brachytherapy if can’t document recurrence with biopsy
5 Take Home Messages

- Estimate Life Expectancy
- Risk stratify using Stage, Gleason Sum and PSA
- AS should be the first option discussed against which the benefits (potential and need for cure) and risks (mortality, urinary incontinence and impotence) of treatment should be compared
- AS should be recommended for very low and low-risk CaP when L Exp is <20 and <10 yrs, respectively
- Sipuleucel-T (Provenge®) is a new option for castration-recurrent CaP